JOM 23595PC

## Preliminary Communication

Synthesis and reaction<br>of ( $\eta^{5}$-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)bis(allyl)tantalum(III) complexes; crystal structure of $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ ( $\eta^{3}$-1-phenylallyl) ${ }_{2}$<br>Kazushi Mashima, Yoshimichi Yamanaka, Yoshihiko Gohro and Akira Nakamura<br>Department of Macromolecular Science, Faculty of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560 (Japan)

(Received February 5, 1993; in revised form February 12, 1993)


#### Abstract

We report here the synthesis and X -ray structure of a mononuclear 16-electron ( $\eta^{5}$-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)bis(allyl)-tantalum complex, $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Ta}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{3}-\mathrm{PhC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2}$ (2). Carbonylation of 2 induced the coupling reaction with phenylallyl groups bound to tantalum to give 1,6 -diphenyl-1,5-hexadiene and carbonyltantalum complexes. Oxidation of 2 with one-electron oxdidants, DDQ and TCNQ, gave the tantalum(IV) complexes, [Cp ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Ta}^{\left(\mathrm{PhC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \text { Ioxidant]. }}$


Although many kinds of transition metal-allyl complexes have been prepared, only a few allyl tantalum complexes have been reported [1-6]. Here we describe the synthesis of mononuclear 16 -electron ( $\eta^{5}$-penta-methylcyclopentadienyl)-bis(allyl)tantalum(III) complexes and elimination reactions of the two allyl groups from tantalum(III).

Treatment of $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{TaCl}_{4}(1)\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}=\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ with 4 equiv. of allyl MgCl gave a dark green compound of the empirical formula $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Ta}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ in $3 \%$ yield [7]. However, the reaction of 1 with 4 equiv. of 1-phenylallylMgBr in THF afforded complex $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\star} \mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PhC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2}$ (2) as red-brown crystals in $56 \%$ yield (eqn. (1)) [8], which is, to our knowledge, the first example of a mononuclear 16 -electron ( $\eta^{5}$-pentamethylcyclo-pentadienyl)-bis(allyl)tantalum complex.

The X-ray study [9] of a single crystal obtained from a saturated solution in a mixture of toluene and hexane revealed the structure shown in Fig. 1. The orientation of the two $\eta^{3}$-allyl moieties indicates that 2 is a
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supine-supine isomer [10]. The analogous paramagnetic complexes $\mathrm{CpM}\left(\eta^{3} \text {-allyl }\right)_{2}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cr}$ and Mo , adopt a similar arrangement [11]. The coordination around tantalum of 2 approximated to two-legged piano-stool geometry. The hydrogen atoms attached to C3 and C13 are fairly close $(2.50 \AA)$ to the tantalum atom, which stabilizes the 16 electron species via agostic interaction $[12,13]$. The $\mathrm{Ta}-\mathrm{C}(3$ or 13$)-\mathrm{H}$ angles $\left(91-96^{\circ}\right)$ and the small coupling constant $J(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})(145 \mathrm{~Hz})$ also indicate the interaction [14]. The angle between the two planes


Fig. 1. ortep drawing of 2 with labelling scheme. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg); Ta-Cl 2.17(2), Ta-C2 2.25(3); Ta-C3 2.32(2); Ta-C11 2.29(2); Ta-C12 2.27(3), Ta-C13 2.27(2), C1-C2 1.49(3) C2-C3 1.46(3), C11-C12 1.28(4); C12-C13 1.41(4); C1-C2C3 114(2), C11-C12-C13 123(2). The distances between the tantalum atom and the carbon atoms of the cyclopentadienyl moiety are in the range $2.37(3)-2.46(3) \AA$.


Scheme 1.
of allyl groups is $24.9^{\circ}$, which is comparable to that (25.6 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) of CpMo (allyl) ${ }_{2}$ [11b].

The reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{TaCl}_{4}$ with 1 -phenylally MgBr , in addition to 2 , gives three isomers formed by coupling of the allyl groups, i.e 1,6 -diphenyl-1,5-hexadiene (3a), 1,4-diphenyl-1,5-hexadiene (3b), and 3,4-diphenyl-1,5-hexadiene (3c) ( $8: 1: 4$ ratio), which were isolated in $42 \%$ yield. Such reductive coupling is rather rare for early transition metal organometallic compounds, though intramolecular coupling of two pentadienyl ligands bound to niobium has been reported [15].

Preliminary results on the reactivity of complex 2 are as follows. As a formally 16e complex, 2 might be expected to react with donor molecules, but the NMR spectra of 2 did not change in the presence of donor molecules such as trimethylphosphine or pyridine. However, reaction of 2 with smaller ligand carbon monoxide ( $50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) induced reductive coupling of the allyl groups [11b,11d] affording predominantly 3 a in $87 \%$ yield together with $\mathrm{Cp}^{\star} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(13 \%)$ [16] and $\mathrm{Cp}_{3}^{*} \mathrm{Ta}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{n}\left(\nu(\mathrm{CO}) 1943\right.$ and $1862 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). Compound 3 a may be formed selectively via an intermediate, $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{n}\left(\eta^{1}-3 \text {-phenylallyl }\right)_{2}$, which undergoes reductive elimination to give 3a and carbonyl tantalum complex.

Hydrolysis of complex 2 by the addition of degassed water ( 50 equiv) in benzene- $d_{6}$ resulted in the quantitative formation of a $1: 1$ mixture of 3 -phenyl-1-propene and 1-phenylpropane. The strong oxophilic property of tantalum induces the cleavage of the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ bond to form a hydrido-oxo-tantalum species [17], which is responsible for the reduction of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond. When the reaction was carried out with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the same conditions, the products were 3-deutero-3-phenyl-1-propene and 1,2,3-trideutero-1-phenylpropane [18].

A salient feature of the reactivity of $\mathbf{2}$ is the oxidatively induced release of an allyl moiety coordinated to tantalum. Treatment of 2 with an excess of dioxygen resulted in the formation of 3-phenyl-1-propene ( $48 \%$ yield), presumably formed by elimination of an allyl
group with a hydrogen atom derived from the $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ligand [19].

We examined one- or two-electron oxidation of 2 [20]. When 2 in benzene- $d_{6}$ was mixed with two equiv. of ferrocenium cation, the starting complex disappeared completely within a few min to give 1-phenyi1 -propene in $46 \%$ yield based quantitatively on 2 and ferrocene. The corresponding 1:1 reaction also gave ferrocene and 1-phenyl-1-propene in $43 \%$ yield.

In contrast, treatment of $\mathbf{2}$ in THF with the weaker one-electron oxidants DDQ and TCNQ gave the tantalum(IV) complexes 4a (dark red microcrystalline solid, $64 \%$ yield) and 4b (deep red microcrystalline solid, $90 \%$ yield) [21].

These unusual redox reactions seem to be characteristic of the organometallic chemistry of tantalum(III) complexes.
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